

Harmony Over Honesty: Contrasting Cultural Consensus in Filipino and Western Social Norms

Foundational Dimensions: Individualism, Collectivism, and Power Distance

The framework for understanding social norms and cultural consensus in both Filipino and Western societies is anchored in several foundational cultural dimensions, most notably individualism versus collectivism (IDV) and Power Distance Index (PDI). These metrics, developed through extensive cross-cultural research, provide a quantitative lens through which to analyze divergent worldviews, behavioral expectations, and institutional structures. The evidence overwhelmingly indicates a significant divergence along these axes, shaping everything from personal identity to organizational hierarchies. The United States consistently ranks at or near the top of the global scale for individualism, with a score of 91 ³⁹ ⁴¹. Other prominent Western nations like Australia (90), the United Kingdom (89), and Canada (80) also exhibit very high levels of individualism ³⁷ ³⁹ ⁴¹. This cultural orientation prioritizes the autonomous self, emphasizing personal goals, independence, and the assertion of individual rights ⁴⁷ ⁴⁹. In contrast, Philippine society is characterized as collectivistic, consistent with cultural values that emphasize family relationships and welfare over the needs of the individual ²³ ²⁴. While some analyses note that this collectivism is particularly pronounced at the familial level ²⁶, the broader societal structure reflects a worldview where individuals see themselves as part of a 'group' whose interests take precedence ²⁸ ²⁹. This fundamental difference means that being labeled "individualistic" in the Philippines can carry pejorative connotations of selfishness, loner tendencies, or asocial behavior ³². This contrasts sharply with Western narratives that often champion individuality as a core human right and a driver of progress ⁴⁷.

This distinction is not merely semantic but has profound implications for social and professional life. Western workplaces, for instance, tend to favor direct, task-focused communication where feedback is straightforward and explicitly tied to measurable outcomes ¹³ ¹¹⁴. Conversely, the collectivist ethos in the Philippines fosters an

environment where maintaining group harmony is paramount, influencing communication styles and conflict management strategies ⁴². It is important to acknowledge the limitations of these broad categorizations. Research from the GLOBE project suggests that the individualism-collectivism divide should not be oversimplified as a strict "East versus West" binary, as significant internal variation exists within both cultural clusters ⁸⁸. Furthermore, the Philippines exhibits a tension between its traditional collectivist heritage and the encroachment of modern individualistic values, a dynamic that is particularly critical to understanding generational shifts in social attitudes ³¹. The term "collectivist" itself requires careful application; while many sources describe Philippine society as broadly collectivistic ^{23 27}, others specify that this value is most intensely practiced within the immediate family unit ²⁶. This nuance highlights the complexity of applying universal models to specific national contexts.

A second critical dimension is Power Distance, which measures the extent to which less powerful members of a society accept and expect that power is distributed unequally. Here, the contrast between the Philippines and Western nations is even more stark. According to Geert Hofstede's analysis, the Philippines scores an extremely high 94 on the Power Distance Index (PDI), placing it among the highest in the world ^{38 84}. This indicates a culture deeply embedded in hierarchical structures where authority is accepted as a natural and integral part of the social order. In contrast, the United States scores a relatively low 40 on the same index, reflecting a preference for flatter hierarchies and more egalitarian interactions ^{38 61}. This vast difference in PDI directly influences expectations in various domains. In the workplace, Filipino employees are less likely to offer direct feedback to their superiors, a behavior rooted in a desire to avoid public disagreement and maintain respectful deference ⁷⁷. A 2021 Gallup survey revealed that only 40% of Filipino employees felt comfortable offering suggestions or feedback to their managers, a figure significantly lower than what might be expected in a low power distance context ⁸⁰. Max Weber's theory of legitimate authority provides a useful parallel, distinguishing between traditional authority prevalent in high power distance cultures and the rational-legal authority characteristic of Western bureaucratic systems ^{81 82 140}. In the Philippines, authority is often vested in figures based on tradition, charisma, or established hierarchy, whereas Western legitimacy is grounded in formal rules and procedures ¹³⁹¹⁴⁹. This foundational difference in the perception and exercise of power shapes not only interpersonal dynamics but also the functioning of institutions like government and education ¹³⁶¹³⁸.

Cultural Dimension	Philippines	United States (Representative Western Nation)
Individualism (IDV)	Collectivistic; prioritizes group/family needs 6 28 29 .	Very High Individualism; prioritizes personal goals and autonomy 9 39 41 .
Power Distance (PDI)	Very High (Score of 94); accepts strong hierarchical order 38 84 .	Low (Score of 40); prefers egalitarian structures 38 61 .
Uncertainty Avoidance	Lower score; more tolerant of ambiguity 136.	Higher score; prefers structured situations and clear rules 136.
Masculinity (MAS)	Moderate score; balances assertiveness with nurturing traits 136.	High Masculinity; emphasizes competition, achievement, and success 136141.

These foundational dimensions create distinct operating systems for social life. The high power distance and collectivism in the Philippines foster a society oriented towards relational stability and hierarchical respect, while the low power distance and high individualism in the U.S. promote a culture centered on personal agency, equality, and explicit communication. Understanding this deep-seated divergence is essential for interpreting the myriad ways in which social norms, expectations, and cultural consensus manifest differently across these two societal landscapes.

The Filipino Value Cluster: Hiya, Pakikisama, and Utang na Loob

Beyond broad cultural dimensions, the social fabric of the Philippines is intricately woven from a cluster of interconnected values that form a sophisticated system for regulating interpersonal conduct and ensuring social cohesion. Concepts such as *hiya*, *pakikisama*, *utang na loob*, and *amor propio* are not isolated virtues but components of a coherent cultural model that guides daily interactions and shapes a unique cultural consensus around propriety and respect 65 125. This value cluster represents a shared understanding within Filipino society about how to behave appropriately and maintain harmonious relationships, functioning as an internalized guide for social navigation. These values have been selectively assimilated alongside other cultural influences, contributing to the distinct character of Filipino culture 43 .

Perhaps the most potent of these values is *hiya*, a complex concept that can be translated as shame, propriety, or a sense of dignity 44 128. *Hiya* acts as an internal compass, compelling individuals to conform to community standards and avoid actions that would bring dishonor upon themselves or their family 51 57 . It is a feeling of shame and

embarrassment that governs behavior in social contexts, serving as a powerful mechanism for social control ^{55 102}. Unlike Western shame, which can be a more private and psychological emotion, *hiya* is profoundly relational and public; the fear of bringing shame is tied to one's standing within the social group ¹²³. This sense of shame helps people stay mindful of their actions, ensuring they remain respectful and considerate ⁴⁴. Its influence is pervasive, affecting everything from the etiquette of gift-giving—where waiting to open a present shows respect rather than hesitation—to the avoidance of certain topics, such as sex, which are considered too sensitive for open discussion due to *hiya* ^{45 60}. To experience *hiya* is considered a great disgrace, making it a cornerstone of social conformity ⁵⁸.

Complementing *hiya* is *pakikisama*, the active pursuit of smooth interpersonal relationships and social harmony ⁴². This value involves adjusting one's behavior to fit in with a group, showing companionship, and participating in communal activities to maintain peace and togetherness ^{52 53}. *Pakikisama* is often described as "getting along with" others and is a driving force behind social cohesion ⁵⁶. It manifests as a willingness to concede to the wishes of the collective to preserve group harmony ¹⁰². However, this emphasis on harmony can have a dual effect; while it fosters unity, it can also suppress dissent and discourage public disagreement to avoid conflict ⁶³. The interplay between *hiya* and *pakikisama* creates a delicate balance: *hiya* dictates the individual's internal restraint to avoid causing shame, while *pakikisama* drives the external action of adjusting behavior to please others and maintain social peace ¹²⁷. Together, they ensure that social interactions prioritize relational maintenance over individual assertion.

Another crucial value is *utang na loob*, which refers to a profound sense of gratitude and the unquantifiable obligation to repay a favor done by another ⁶². This "debt of gratitude" is not a simple transactional exchange but a binding moral commitment that creates long-term reciprocal obligations ⁶⁷. Because the favor is impossible to fully repay, *utang na loob* establishes a deep-seated sense of loyalty and duty that strengthens interpersonal bonds ⁶⁴. This value underpins trust and solidarity within families and communities, creating a network of mutual support ⁶⁵. It differs significantly from Western notions of favors, which are often perceived as more temporary and "one-on-one" transactions without the same weight of enduring obligation ⁹⁹. The strength of this value means that someone who has incurred an *utang na loob* feels a constant, almost subconscious, drive to reciprocate, which can influence decisions and behaviors for years to come.

Finally, *amor propio* functions as a protective mechanism related to self-respect and dignity ¹²⁶. Closely linked to *hiya*, *amor propio* governs sensitivity to criticism and the need for social approval ¹²⁷. While *hiya* prevents actions that would cause shame, *amor propio* ensures that an individual maintains a sense of personal worth and dignity in the face of potential rejection or disrespect ¹²⁶. This value makes Filipinos highly attuned to social cues and the opinions of others, reinforcing the importance of behaving in a manner that earns respect and acceptance ¹²⁸. These four values—*hiya*, *pakikisama*, *utang na loob*, and *amor propio*—form a tightly integrated system that collectively defines the cultural consensus on proper social conduct in the Philippines. They represent a shared set of beliefs encoded in the cultural model that individuals strive to approximate in their own behaviors ¹⁶. This cluster of values demonstrates that social norms in the Philippines are not merely rules to be followed but are deeply ingrained principles that shape the very nature of the self in relation to the community.

Communication Styles: High-Context Indirectness Versus Low-Context Directness

The divergent foundations of individualism-collectivism and high-context versus low-context cultures manifest most visibly in communication styles, which serve as a primary source of both connection and friction between Filipino and Western societies. The framework developed by anthropologist Edward T. Hall provides a powerful tool for analyzing these differences, positing that cultures can be categorized as either high-context, where much of the information is implicit and resides in the physical context or the person, or low-context, where information is primarily conveyed through the explicit message itself ^{3 4 144}. Based on this model, Filipino culture is distinctly high-context, while Western cultures, particularly American culture, are low-context ¹⁰⁰.

In a high-context culture like the Philippines, communication relies heavily on implicit cues, non-verbal signals, and the shared social context to convey meaning ^{1 5 115}. The surrounding situation, including body language, tone of voice, and the history of the relationship between communicators, carries as much weight as the words spoken ¹¹⁶. This necessitates a high degree of interpersonal sensitivity and the ability to "read between the lines." Filipinos often communicate indirectly to navigate complex social dynamics, prevent a loss of face (*hiya*), and maintain harmony (*pakikisama*) ⁷⁸. For example, instead of delivering blunt criticism, a Filipino might use subtle hints or non-verbal cues to express disagreement, leaving it to the other person to infer the intended

message 133. This indirectness is not a sign of dishonesty or incompetence but a culturally conditioned strategy for preserving relationships and avoiding direct confrontation 134. Even seemingly simple actions, like waiting to open a gift, are imbued with meaning as a sign of respect and humility 45. This reliance on context can lead to significant misunderstandings when interacting with low-context communicators, who may interpret silence or indirectness as confusion or a lack of clarity 132.

Conversely, low-context cultures, exemplified by the United States, place a premium on explicit, direct, and unambiguous verbal communication 79 100. In this framework, the sender is responsible for encoding the message clearly, and the receiver is expected to decode it accurately. Most information is contained within the explicit message, minimizing dependence on the surrounding context 75 115. This preference for clarity and precision extends to all aspects of life, including the workplace, where feedback is often delivered straightforwardly and focused on tasks and measurable outcomes 13 114. Americans tend to value directness in communication, valuing clarity and precision 79. While this style promotes efficiency and reduces ambiguity, it can be perceived as abrupt, confrontational, or rude in a high-context setting like the Philippines 113. A Western manager providing direct, public feedback to a subordinate might be seen as causing them to lose face (*hiya*), damaging the relationship and undermining the goal of performance improvement 77.

This fundamental difference in communication logic has significant practical implications. In business settings, for instance, negotiations in the Philippines may involve lengthy discussions focused on building personal relationships before any substantive business is addressed, a process that might seem inefficient to a low-context counterpart accustomed to getting straight to the point 148. Similarly, conflict resolution strategies differ markedly. A Filipino approach may prioritize finding a solution that benefits all parties and preserves harmony, potentially through compromise or mediation, whereas a Western approach might favor an open discussion to identify and resolve the root issue, even if it involves direct confrontation 131 133. The challenge is compounded in the digital age, where written forms of communication like emails and texts, which are dominant in low-context cultures, clash with the implicit norms of high-context cultures, leading to frequent misinterpretations 75 134. Ultimately, the gap between high-context and low-context communication is not merely about word choice but about fundamentally different cognitive and social priorities. Bridging this gap requires developing communicative flexibility and cultivating a deep appreciation for the different logics that govern social interaction in each cultural sphere.

Emotional Expression: Display Rules and the Pursuit of Harmony

The differing societal orientations toward the individual versus the collective are profoundly expressed through culturally specific norms governing the display of emotion. These "display rules" are socially learned guidelines that dictate which emotions are appropriate to show, when, and how ⁷³. The available data reveals a clear and consistent pattern: collectivist cultures, exemplified by the Philippines, tend to favor emotional expressions that promote social harmony, whereas individualist cultures, representative of the West, generally place greater value on authentic self-expression, including a wider range of emotions ^{10 72}. This divergence in emotional norms is a direct reflection of the underlying cultural values of *pakikisama* (harmony) and individual autonomy.

In collectivist Filipino culture, the preservation of group cohesion is a paramount concern, which leads to display rules that encourage the expression of positive emotions and the suppression of negative ones ¹⁰. Openly displaying anger, sadness, or other disruptive emotions is often discouraged because it can threaten social harmony and create discomfort for others ¹⁰²¹¹⁷. The cultural ideal places a higher value on low-arousal emotions, which are seen as more controlled and conducive to peaceful interactions ¹³⁵. This is not to say that Filipinos do not feel these emotions, but rather that their expression is moderated by a strong sense of social responsibility. The value of *hiya*, or shame, plays a crucial role here, acting as an internal check against overacting or expressing emotions that might embarrass oneself or others ⁶³. Consequently, emotional expression is often more subdued and carefully managed to maintain a stable and agreeable social environment. This contrasts with the general expectation in individualist cultures, where emotional expression is often seen as a vital component of authenticity and self-discovery ^{8 11}.

Furthermore, research suggests that adherence to emotion norms is actually greater in individualist cultures than in collectivist ones, though the content of those norms differs ¹². In Western cultures, the norm is often to express one's true feelings, even if they are negative, as a way of asserting one's independent self. Members of individualist cultures are often more attuned to the emotional states of others, but this awareness serves to facilitate individual connections rather than to police group harmony ^{11 48}. The Western emphasis on the autonomous self encourages individuals to adjust themselves to social expectations not necessarily to maintain group cohesion, but to pursue personal agendas and goals ³⁴. This focus on personal authenticity can sometimes lead to the open

expression of a full spectrum of emotions, including those that might be considered inappropriate in a collectivist context.

The implications of these differing emotional norms are far-reaching. In a workplace setting, a Filipino employee might be trained to keep their frustrations to themselves to avoid disrupting team dynamics, while a Western employee might be encouraged to voice concerns openly during a meeting to ensure the issue is addressed. In healthcare, a patient from a collectivist background might be less likely to openly complain of pain to avoid being a burden, a behavior that could be misinterpreted by a clinician trained in an individualist framework. Even in everyday social interactions, the unspoken rules about emotional expression can lead to misunderstandings. A Westerner might perceive a reserved Filipino as unfriendly or disinterested, while the Filipino perceives the Westerner's overt emotional displays as overly dramatic or lacking in self-control. These differences highlight that what is considered "appropriate" emotional behavior is not universal but is deeply embedded in the cultural consensus of each society. The Filipino consensus prioritizes the emotional well-being of the group, mediated through values like *hiya* and *pakikisama*, while the Western consensus often prioritizes the emotional well-being of the individual, mediated through values of authenticity and personal freedom.

Family, Authority, and Intergenerational Dynamics

The contrasting cultural frameworks of individualism and collectivism, coupled with their respective power distance indices, find their most potent expression in the structures of the family and the dynamics of authority. In the Philippines, the family is the bedrock of society, and its collectivist orientation manifests in deeply entrenched patterns of intergenerational interdependence and respect for hierarchical authority ^{23 29}. In Western societies, while the family remains important, individual autonomy and egalitarian principles often shape familial roles and relationships, and authority structures tend to be more decentralized and subject to questioning ^{47 61}.

The Filipino concept of filial piety, or the duty of children to care for their parents, is a cornerstone of this family-centric value system ¹¹⁸. This duty is reinforced by the high power distance in Filipino culture, which extends naturally from the state and workplace into the family structure, establishing elders as authoritative figures deserving of respect ¹³¹. However, recent research applying the Dual Filial Piety Model offers a more nuanced understanding. This model distinguishes between two dimensions: Reciprocal Filial Piety (RFP), based on mutuality and love, and Authoritarian Filial Piety (AFP), based on

obedience and hierarchical respect 96 142. Studies using this model have found that RFP does not differ significantly across cultures, suggesting that the desire to care for parents out of love and gratitude is a universal human value 93 94 95 . Where cultures diverge is in AFP; the emphasis on obedience and respect for parental authority appears stronger in collectivist contexts like the Philippines 143. This suggests that while both Filipinos and Westerners may support caring for elderly parents, the underlying rationale may differ, with Filipino culture placing a stronger emphasis on hierarchical duty alongside reciprocal love 21 .

Despite this, some studies offer contradictory evidence, challenging simplistic stereotypes. One study noted that Westerners can support the care of their elderly parents just as much as Asians do, indicating that cultural values alone do not determine behavior 20 . The evolving nature of filial piety in the 21st century, adapting to economic pressures and changing social realities, further complicates the picture, suggesting a reinterpretation of traditional practices 22 . Nonetheless, the overarching cultural consensus in the Philippines prioritizes the family's needs above the individual's, a principle that shapes decisions about careers, relocation, and personal sacrifices 26 29 . This contrasts with the Western emphasis on individual advancement, where a person's future is often seen as something they build for themselves, sometimes leading to a greater reliance on individual initiative rather than collective familial support 33 .

This dynamic extends beyond the family to broader societal structures, particularly in the workplace. The high power distance in the Philippines translates into a clear hierarchy where deference to superiors is expected and common 38 . Filipino employees are often socialized from a young age to respect authority, which makes them less likely to publicly disagree with or provide direct feedback to their managers 77 80 . This contrasts sharply with the low power distance environment in the United States, where egalitarianism is a prevailing norm and questioning authority is often encouraged as a way to improve processes and outcomes 61 . Western workplaces typically favor direct communication styles and explicit feedback mechanisms designed to address issues head-on, a practice that can be perceived as disrespectful in a high power distance culture 13 114. The very structure of leadership and management reflects these differences; Western leadership ideals often emphasize participative and democratic styles, whereas leadership in high power distance cultures is more likely to be paternalistic and centralized 81 86 . This fundamental difference in the perception and exercise of authority impacts not only day-to-day interactions but also the broader functioning of organizations and the distribution of power within society.

Synthesis of Consensus and Divergence

The comparative analysis of social norms and cultural consensus between Filipino and Western societies reveals a complex tapestry of shared human concerns articulated through profoundly different cultural frameworks. At its core, the divergence stems from a philosophical chasm between a worldview that prioritizes the relational self and one that champions the autonomous self. This foundational difference manifests in nearly every aspect of social life, from communication and emotional expression to family dynamics and the acceptance of authority. While a comprehensive synthesis requires acknowledging the internal diversity and ongoing evolution within each cultural sphere, a clear set of contrasts and points of divergence emerges from the available data.

The most significant point of divergence lies in the definition of the self. In the Filipino cultural consensus, the individual is understood primarily as a member of a group, with identity and self-worth derived from social relationships ^{28 98}. The ultimate goal is to maintain harmony and fulfill one's obligations within this web of connections, a philosophy encapsulated in the concept of *kapwa*—the recognition of a shared identity with others ^{56 130}. In stark contrast, the Western cultural consensus, particularly in Anglo-American contexts, is built upon the idea of the autonomous, independent self ⁴⁷. The primary social contract is with the state, not with a kinship group, and the individual's paramount duty is to their own development, rights, and authentic expression ^{49 108}. This philosophical opposition explains why a single action, like giving feedback, can be interpreted so differently: as a necessary act of honesty and fairness in the West, and as a dangerous threat to social harmony in the Philippines.

This philosophical divide gives rise to distinct modes of communication and emotional regulation. The Filipino value system, dominated by *hiya* (shame/proper conduct) and *pakikisama* (harmony), necessitates a high-context, indirect communication style ^{42 78}. Meaning is often implicit, relying on non-verbal cues and shared understanding to navigate social situations delicately ¹¹⁵. Emotions are governed by display rules that prioritize group cohesion, leading to the suppression of negative or high-arousal emotions ^{10 135}. The Western consensus, by contrast, champions a low-context, explicit communication style where clarity and precision are valued above all ^{79 100}. This is mirrored in emotional norms that generally encourage the expression of a wide range of feelings as a form of personal authenticity ^{8 72}. The clash is not merely about politeness but about two irreconcilable logics for managing human interaction.

Similarly, the consensus on authority and social control differs dramatically. The high power distance in Filipino culture creates a societal structure where deference to

hierarchical figures—from parents to bosses—is a deeply ingrained expectation 38 . Social control is maintained internally through the powerful mechanism of *hiya* and externally through the pressure to conform to group norms (*pakikisama*) 44 102. In the West, low power distance fosters a more egalitarian ethos where authority is questioned and held accountable 61 . Social control is increasingly externalized through legal systems, reflecting a "dignity culture" where rights are paramount and disputes are settled through formal, impersonal processes rather than relational negotiation 108109. Interpersonal bonds, too, are defined differently. The Filipino concept of *utang na loob* (debt of gratitude) creates deep, long-term obligations that bind individuals together in a web of mutual indebtedness 62 . Western relationships, while capable of great depth, are often more transactional or based on individual choice, with obligations typically defined by law or explicit agreement.

The table below summarizes these key points of divergence and consensus.

Dimension	Filipino Cultural Consensus / Expectation	Western Cultural Consensus / Expectation
Core Self	Relational/Social Self (<i>kapwa</i>); prioritizes group harmony and interdependence 28 98 .	Autonomous/Independent Self; prioritizes personal goals, rights, and authenticity 47 .
Communication	High-Context; indirect, implicit, and reliant on non-verbal cues to maintain harmony 78 100.	Low-Context; direct, explicit, and reliant on verbal precision and clarity 79 100.
Emotional Norms	Display Rules favor low-arousal emotions; negative emotions are suppressed to preserve harmony 10 135.	Greater acceptance of emotional expression, including a wider range of emotions, as authentic selfhood 8 72 .
Social Control	Internalized Shame (<i>hiya</i>) and external pressure from the community (<i>pakikisama</i>) 44 102.	Externalized legal systems (<i>dignity culture</i>) and internalized personal rights 108109.
Authority	High Power Distance; deference to hierarchical figures (family, boss, government) is expected 38 131.	Low Power Distance; egalitarianism is preferred, and questioning authority is common 61 150.
Interpersonal Bonds	Obligatory Debt (<i>utang na loob</i>) creates deep, long-term reciprocal obligations 62 67 .	More transactional or choice-based relationships; obligations are often legally or contractually defined.

In conclusion, the analysis demonstrates that social norms and cultural consensus are not arbitrary rules but are logical extensions of a society's core values. The Filipino consensus, rooted in collectivism, high power distance, and a relational ontology, produces a culture that prizes harmony, respect, and obligation. The Western consensus, rooted in individualism, low power distance, and an atomistic ontology, produces a culture that prizes autonomy, directness, and personal rights. Recognizing these deep-seated differences is the first step toward meaningful cross-cultural understanding. It requires moving beyond ethnocentric judgments and appreciating that different paths to social order and human flourishing exist, each valid within its own cultural context 89 .

Reference

1. High-context and low-context cultures <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/communication-and-mass-media/high-context-and-low-context-cultures>
2. High-context and low-context cultures https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-context_and_low-context_cultures
3. 1.5 Cultural Characteristics and Communication <https://open.maricopa.edu/com110/chapter/1-5-cultural-characteristics-and-communication/>
4. What is High Context and Low Context culture? <https://www.futurelearn.com/info/courses/intercultural-communication/0/steps/11070>
5. High Context Culture | Definition, Characteristics & Examples <https://study.com/learn/lesson/high-context-culture-definition-examples.html>
6. Cultural Similarities and Differences in Perceived Affordances ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2854543/>
7. Cultural values, emotional intelligence, and conflict ... <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1090951616300074>
8. 4.4 Nonverbal Communication and Culture <https://open.maricopa.edu/com110/chapter/4-4-nonverbal-communication-in-context/>
9. Culture in Interpersonal Communication <https://opentext.ku.edu/interpersonal/chapter/culture/>
10. (PDF) Emotional Display Rules and Individualism Versus ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/368282799_Emotional_Display_Rules_and_Individualism_Versus_Collectivism_Mapping_Expressive_Differences_Around_the_World_The_Relationship_Between_On_behalf_of_International_Association_for_Cross-Cultural_Psychol
11. Santa Clara University <https://www.facebook.com/SantaClaraUniversity/posts/why-do-people-from-different-cultures-sometimes-see-express-or-respond-to-emotio/1425191906303252/>
12. Which Cultural Dimensions Predict Variations in Emotional ... <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/00220221251376543>
13. American vs. Filipino Work Culture: Key Differences <https://manilarecruitment.com/manila-recruitment-articles-advice/american-vs-filipino-work-culture/>
14. Validating Cultural Models With Cultural Consensus Theory <https://www.jeffreyjohnson.org/app/download/769782221/CMVALIDATION.pdf>

15. Validating Cultural Models with Cultural Consensus Theory https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381848396_Validating_Cultural_Models_with_Cultural_Consensus_Theory
16. Cultural Consonance: Extending Cultural Consensus Theory. <https://philpapers.org/rec/DRECCE>
17. Cultural consensus theory https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cultural_consensus_theory
18. Cognitive Anthropology - The University of Alabama <https://anthropology.ua.edu/theory/cognitive-anthropology/>
19. Cross-Cultural Values: A Meta-Analysis of Major ... <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1444/11/8/396>
20. [PDF] A Comparison of Filial Piety Between Asian Americans and Caucasian https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=4794&context=soss_research
21. Filial Piety and U.S. Family Law: How Cultural Values Influence ... <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6284p67t>
22. The evolving concept of filial piety in the Philippines. | HERDIN <https://www.herdin.ph/index.php?view=research&cid=88984>
23. Cultural Values, Parenting, and Child Adjustment in the Philippines - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11257813/>
24. [PDF] Cultural Values, Parenting and Child Adjustment in the Philippines <https://archium.ateneo.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1449&context=psychology-faculty-pubs>
25. Self, Family, and Democracy: Individualism and Collectivism in ... <https://www.emerald.com/books/edited-volume/14839/chapter/85940361/Self-Family-and-Democracy-Individualism-and>
26. How Filipinos known for being a collectivistic culture? Do you ... <https://www.quora.com/How-Filipinos-known-for-being-a-collectivistic-culture-Do-you-personally-agree-that-your-are-collectivist-If-not-what-is-your-personal-experience-why-you-think-that-your-orientation-is-on-individualism-not-on>
27. (PDF) Individualism and Salvation: An Empirical-Theological Exploration ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/364500931_Individualism_and_Salvation_An_Empirical-Theological_Exploration_of_Attitudes_Among_the_Filipino_Youth_and_its_Challenges_to_Filipino_Families
28. Filipino - Core Concepts - Cultural Atlas <https://culturalatlas.sbs.com.au/filipino-culture/filipino-culture-core-concepts>
29. The Philippines - AFS-USA <https://www.afsusa.org/countries/philippines/>
30. The Moderating Role of Collectivism on the Relationship Between Self ... <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/>

391722209_The_Moderating_Role_of_Collectivism_on_the_Relationship_Between_Self-Compassion_and_Compassion_for_Others_of_Filipino_College_Students

31. [PDF] The Decline of Traditional Filipino Values Across Generations https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/download_pdf.php?id=7805
32. An Empirical-Theological Exploration of Attitudes Among the Filipino ... <https://scientia-sanbeda.org/index.php/scientia/article/view/102>
33. Would the Philippines be better if Filipinos were more individualistic? https://www.reddit.com/r/Filipino/comments/s93047/would_the_philippines_be_better_if_filipinos_were/
34. Cultural Psychology: Beyond East and West <https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-psych-021723-063333?crawler=true>
35. Cultural differences are far more nuanced than East vs West <https://psyche.co/ideas/cultural-differences-are-far-more-nuanced-than-east-vs-west>
36. Understanding Cross-Cultural Psychology: Eastern and ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287411711_Understanding_cross-cultural_psychology_Eastern_and_western_perspectives
37. 6.2: Hofstede's dimensions of culture theory - Social Sci LibreTexts [https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Anthropology/Cultural_Anthropology/Speaking_of_Culture_\(Weil\)/06%3A_Beliefs_Values_and_Cultural_Universals/6.02%3A_Hofstedes_dimensions_of_culture_theory](https://socialsci.libretexts.org/Bookshelves/Anthropology/Cultural_Anthropology/Speaking_of_Culture_(Weil)/06%3A_Beliefs_Values_and_Cultural_Universals/6.02%3A_Hofstedes_dimensions_of_culture_theory)
38. Power Distance Index - Clearly Cultural <https://clearlycultural.com/geert-hofstede-cultural-dimensions/power-distance-index/>
39. EU-28 countries ranked by Hofstede's cultural dimensions : r/europe - Reddit https://www.reddit.com/r/europe/comments/4g88kt/eu28_countries_ranked_by_hofstedes_cultural/
40. Understanding Cultural Differences: Hofstede's Dimensions | Course Hero <https://www.coursehero.com/file/230199538/HRPD-TOPIG-1docx/>
41. United States - US or American Geert Hofstede Cultural Dimensions ... https://internationalbusinesscenter.org/geert-hofstede/hofstede_united_states.shtml
42. Respect is deeply rooted in Filipino culture—and it starts ... <https://www.facebook.com/PHinEgypt/posts/respect-is-deeply-rooted-in-filipino-cultureand-it-starts-with-po-and-opo-po-is-/1056907013293225/>
43. Cervantes highlights the blend of traditions behind Filipino ... <https://www.facebook.com/ONENewsPH/posts/cervantes-highlights-the-blend-of-traditions-behind-filipino-culture-thelongtake/1469497098538970/>
44. Hiya is a concept in Filipino psychology that refers ... <https://www.facebook.com/getpsychEd2022/posts/hiya-is-a-concept-in-filipino-psychology-that-refers-to-a-sense-of-shame-or-prop/626859253438682/>

45. Why Filipinos Don't Open Gifts Immediately Most people open ... <https://www.facebook.com/61584961855660/posts/why-filipinos-dont-open-gifts-immediatelymost-people-open-gifts-immediatelybut-m/122115640305165395/>
46. Introduction The conceptions of individualism/collectivism ... <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?date>
47. From individuality to individualism: A critique of the helping <https://scholarsarchive.byu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article>
48. The Representation of the Individualism-Collectivism Cultural ... <https://aquila.usm.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article>
49. individualism versus collectivism-the cultural context https://www.academia.edu/35791839/INDIVIDUALISM_VERSUS_COLLECTIVISM_THE_CULTURAL_CONTEXT
50. Child Socialization Goals in Western versus East Asian ... <https://repository.brynmawr.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article>
51. Exploring Margalit's Concept of Humiliation in the Filipino ... <https://archium.ateneo.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1013&context=budhi>
52. Blog Entry #6 June 18, 2016 Pakikisama - Notre Dame Sites <https://sites.nd.edu/sla2016/2016/08/22/blog-entry-6-june-18-2016-pakikisama/>
53. Cultural Values https://geriatrics.stanford.edu/ethnomed/ethno-med/filipino/culturally-appropriate-care/fund/cultural_values.html
54. Filipino cultural values drive resilience, empowerment ... <https://www.hawaii.edu/news/2024/09/03/filipino-cultural-values-covid/>
55. Shame On You! <https://sites.psu.edu/global/2015/04/19/shame-on-you/>
56. Encountering Colonialism <https://cultureofencounter.georgetown.edu/responses/encountering-colonialism>
57. Investigating the Effects of Colonial Mentality and Enculturation <https://repository.usfca.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1653&context=diss>
58. Chavacano Cultural Orientation https://fieldsupport.dliflc.edu/products/chavacano/hv_co/website/default.html?type=chapter%index=3&moduleID=0&taskID=2
59. Filipino American Cultural Beliefs, Perceptions of Autism, and ... <https://scholarworks.uark.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1070&context=psycuht>
60. Helping Parents Talk to their Teens About Sex <https://ccp.jhu.edu/2021/09/20/philippines-sex-teen-pregnancy/>
61. Exploring Small Business Management in Mexico and the ... <https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1878&context=honorstheses>
62. THE CONCEPT OF UTANG NA LOOB IN THE PHILIPPINES <https://research-manila.letran.edu.ph/read/183>

63. Filipino Virtue Ethics and Meaningful Work Ferdinand Tablan <https://philarchive.org/archive/TABFVE>
64. In many Filipino families, utang na loob — often translated ... <https://www.facebook.com/rx931/posts/in-many-filipino-families-utang-na-loob-often-translated-as-debt-of-gratitude-sh/1360083176158940/>
65. An Inquiry into the Problems Concerning Filipino Values ... <https://rmrj.usjr.edu.ph/rmrj/index.php/RMRJ/article/download/1211/250/5544>
66. kapwa: a core concept in filipino social psychology https://www.degruyterbrill.com/document/doi/10.1355/9789814379021-005/pdf?licenseType=restricted&srsltid=AfmBOooUGL_NZ3cr350U-cXG4FJ4hVI7J0yaxmI2xRilTSb6RFBMcMtP
67. The Concept of Utang Na Loob Among Filipino Working ... <https://journal.formosapublisher.org/index.php/fjss/article/download/4572/5935/21419>
68. Filipino Values, Culture, and Citizenship: Key Concepts ... <https://quizlet.com/study-guides/filipino-values-culture-and-citizenship-key-concepts-and-pri-8339b789-cee4-4651-8873-4f9237c3bafd>
69. Jürgen Habermas - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy <https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/habermas/>
70. Habermas and Communicative Actions <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/communication-and-mass-media/habermas-and-communicative-actions>
71. Communicative Action as the Basis of Radical Democracy ... <https://phjhds.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/11.-Communicative-Action-as-the-Basis-of-Radical-Democracy-and-Social-Order-in-Jurgen-Habermass-Political-Philosophy.pdf>
72. Cultural differences in emotion regulation by reappraisal ... <https://repository.library.northeastern.edu/files/neu:m045wf350/fulltext.pdf>
73. Cross-Cultural Differences in Emotional Expression <https://medium.com/psych-pstuff/cross-cultural-differences-in-emotional-expression-4345abc593ff>
74. Culture, Display Rules, and Emotion Judgments <http://www.davidmatsumoto.com/content/001-017%20Matsumoto%20et%20al..pdf>
75. Communicating in High Context vs. Low Context Cultures <https://www.unitedlanguagegroup.com/learn/communicating-high-context-vs-low-context-cultures>
76. German vs. American Feedback Styles: Cross-Cultural ... <https://www.tiktok.com/@andymolinsky/video/7621042932929416479>
77. Filipino vs. Western Work Styles: Key Differences <https://www.365outsource.com/public/filipino-vs-western-work-styles-key-differences/>
78. Filipino Culture / Communication <https://culturalatlas.sbs.com.au/filipino-culture/filipino-culture-communication>

79. American vs Filipino workplace cultural differences <https://www.facebook.com/groups/1028461868251444/posts/1028510628246568/>
80. How Cultural Barriers Impact Workplace Communication in ... <https://jordanimutan.medium.com/how-cultural-barriers-impact-workplace-communication-in-the-philippines-and-what-you-can-do-about-06c7748985cc>
81. [PDF] EUI WORKING PAPERS <https://cadmus.eui.eu/bitstreams/0ef06efb-6ff1-5b20-826b-66176a92988b/download>
82. [PDF] Rationalization in Weber and Habermas - DergiPark <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/691082>
83. Re-Examining The Validity of Hofstede's Power Distance ... <https://immi.se/index.php/intercultural/article/view/10.36923.jicc.v26i1.1312>
84. High Power Distance Countries 2026 <https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/high-power-distance-countries>
85. A Systematic Review on Cultural Dimensions Theory in ... <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/21582440251342160>
86. Results - GLOBE Project <https://globeproject.com/results.html>
87. Anglo Results - GLOBE Project <https://globeproject.com/results/clusters/anglo%3Fmenu=list.html>
88. New Global Study debunks East vs West Cultural Stereotypes : Broadcast <https://www.sussex.ac.uk/broadcast/read/69651>
89. Advancing equity in cross-cultural psychology - PMC - NIH <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11024300/>
90. (PDF) Hofstede, GLOBE, Schwartz and Inglehart's cultural ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/396317033_Hofstede_GLOBE_Schwartz_and_Inglehart's_cultural_dimensions_a_critical_examination_and_assessment
91. Dimensionalizing Cultures: The Hofstede Model in Context <https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1014&context=orpc>
92. GLOBE's Cultural Dimensions: Implications for Global Work ... <https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/cambridge-handbook-of-the-global-workfamily-interface/globes-cultural-dimensions-implications-for-global-workfamily-research/E8621DF07E99FBBEE98AB28F1E1DDA93>
93. Applying the Dual Filial Piety Model in the United States <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.786609/full>
94. Applying the dual Filial Piety Model in the United States <https://researchportal.murdoch.edu.au/esploro/outputs/journalArticle/Applying-the-dual-Filial-Piety-Model/991005540404807891>

95. Applying the Dual Filial Piety Model in the United States - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8850268/>
96. Moderating Effect of Culture and Universality of Filial Piety <https://ouci.dntb.gov.ua/en/works/7ABWeza4/>
97. Scale Validation of Filipino Cultural Values and Its ... - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12341386/>
98. Filipino Versus American Worldview: The Family Orientation <https://www.kalamansijuice.com/blog/filipino-versus-american-worldview>
99. the POLITICAL DYNASTIES. Culture is long lasting and is ... <https://www.facebook.com/groups/1203728010022034/posts/1529758040752361/>
100. 6 Key Cultural Differences Between US and Filipino Workers <https://www.remotely.com.ph/cultural-differences-us-filipino-workers>
101. Culture as common sense: Perceived consensus versus ... https://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1829&context=soss_research
102. Health Beliefs and Behaviors: Health Behaviors https://geriatrics.stanford.edu/ethnomed/ethno-med/filipino/culturally-appropriate-care/fund/health_beliefs/health_behaviors.html
103. Human Behavior in Organization Roots of the Filipino ... https://www.academia.edu/26838073/Human_Behavior_in_Organization_Roots_of_the_Filipino_Character_Roots_of_the_Filipino_Character
104. Honor, face, and dignity norm endorsement among diverse ... <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8096119/>
105. Face and Politeness Theories <https://dr.lib.iastate.edu/server/api/core/bitstreams/976d221e-a6d3-4b0a-afec-d9b8b035724c/content>
106. When Personal Honor Prevents Us from Saying Sorry <https://www.gsb.stanford.edu/insights/when-personal-honor-prevents-us-saying-sorry>
107. An Application of Facework Theory - KnightScholar <https://knight scholar.geneseo.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1003&context=proceedings-of-great-day>
108. Honor, Dignity, and Victimhood: A Tour Through Three ... <https://scholars-stage.org/honor-dignity-and-victimhood-a-tour-through-three-centuries-of-american-political-culture/>
109. Cultural Devolution - Article - Renovatio <https://renovatio.zaytuna.edu/article/cultural-devolution>
110. Eastern Versus Western Honor & Shame | Brad Vaughn <https://www.patheos.com/blogs/jacksonwu/2017/04/26/eastern-versus-western-honor-shame-2/>

111. What is Face Anyway? https://digitalcommons.georgefox.edu/context/dps_fac/article/1015/viewcontent/Sullivan__B_What_is_Face.pdf
112. Discovering the Honor-Shame Cultural Driver <https://www.knowledgeworkx.com/post/discovering-the-honor-shame-cultural-paradigm>
113. Direct vs. Indirect Feedback: The Cultural Lens Everyone ... <https://emanuelab.substack.com/p/direct-vs-indirect-feedback-the-cultural>
114. American vs Philippine Work Culture: Honest Comparison <https://www.tiktok.com/@leilarfn/video/7650425065519074591>
115. High vs. Low-Context Cultures: Key Differences & Real-Life ... <https://www.riamoneytransfer.com/en/blog/high-context-vs-low-context-cultures-countries-communication/>
116. Understanding High- vs. Low-Context Cultures | EDIS <https://journals.flvc.org/edis/article/view/137083/143078>
117. Investigating the Role of Culture on Negative Emotion ... - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8696886/>
118. From Moral Philosophy to Lived Practice: Jose P. Laurel's Filial Piety ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/400584376_From_Moral_Philosophy_to_Lived_Practice_Jose_P_Laurel's_Filial_Piety_and_the_Caregiving_Experiences_of_the_Filipino_Sandwich_Generation
119. [PDF] Adult Children's Filial Belief and Relating Autonomy as Antecedents http://www.cjpsy.com/_i/assets/upload/files/pg031-%E9%A0%81%E9%9D%A2-22-29.pdf
120. Understanding Filipino Culture and Identity | PDF | Family <https://www.scribd.com/document/428363494/Filipino-Culture>
121. Families Across Cultures A 30-Nation Psychological Study https://assets.cambridge.org/052182/2971/excerpt/0521822971_excerpt.htm?utm_source=chatgpt.com
122. Digital facework, politics and small scandals <https://repository.tilburguniversity.edu/bitstreams/92deb990-f4d1-41e8-aa87-bd338e107968/download>
123. The 5 Types of Honor-Shame Cultures <https://honorshame.com/types-honor-shame-cultures/>
124. Cultural contexts of individualism vs. collectivism - Semantic Scholar <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/696ad80439da0486fbb7a1d760aa13f3d56cc0b4>
125. Filipino Values and Interpersonal Harmony | PDF <https://www.scribd.com/presentation/621024699/The-Filipino-Way>
126. Dominant Filipino Values and New Social Work Principles <https://quizlet.com/study-guides/dominant-filipino-values-and-new-social-work-principles-cc9d02ad-bba5-419f-aab6-13779f9b5ba0>

127. Filipino values https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Filipino_values
128. Contemporary Value Of Filipinos <https://medium.com/@jkpaler/contemporary-value-of-filipinos-ffdf13906ef1>
129. 30 Filipino Values: Cultural Beliefs that Shape Our Behaviors <https://jefmenguin.com/filipino-values-cultural-beliefs/>
130. An Inquiry into the Problems Concerning Filipino Values ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/360897749_An_Inquiry_into_the_Problems_Concerning_Filipino_Values_and_Norms
131. A Paper About the Influence of Philippines' Unique Social ... <https://journal.ugm.ac.id/v3/arcelap/article/view/9732>
132. [PDF] Conversing Across Cultural Ideologies: East-West Communication Styles ... https://ceo.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2001/01/2001_03-g00_3-Conversing_Across_Cultural_Ideologies.pdf
133. Solved Choose a country that the Philippines is doing | Chegg.com <https://www.chegg.com/homework-help/questions-and-answers/choose-country-philippines-business-filipinos-working-country-table-differences-culture-co-q78833870>
134. (PDF) Informed Communication in High Context and Low ... https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382452873_Informed_Communication_in_High_Context_and_Low_Context_Cultures
135. differences in emotional arousal level between the East ... - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5381435/>
136. Germany and Philippines scores on the Cultural Dimensions related to... https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Germany-and-Philippines-scores-on-the-Cultural-Dimensions-related-to-Transformational_tbl1_334979651
137. Southern Asia Results - GLOBE Project <https://globeproject.com/results/clusters/southern-asia%3Fmenu=list.html>
138. [PDF] Hofstede's Cultural Dimensions – A Study of Filipino Canadians <https://ijmaberjournal.org/index.php/ijmaber/article/download/1957/1299>
139. Max Weber's Legitimacy Theory Explained | PDF <https://www.scribd.com/document/671035257/SMITH-CONCEPTLEGITIMACY-1970>
140. Power, Authority and the State | Study notes Sociology <https://www.docsity.com/en/docs/power-authority-and-the-state/8994113/>
141. Hofstede's Dimensions of Culture – SPC-101 Kirkwood <https://kirkwood.pressbooks.pub/emcworthy/chapter/hofstedes-dimensions-of-culture/>
142. Adolescents' Filial Piety Attitudes in Relation to Their ... - PMC <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8818790/>

143. A comparative Study of Filial Piety in Beijing and the UK. ... <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10121123/1/A%20comparative%20Study%20of%20Filial%20Piety%20in%20Beijing%20and%20the%20UK.pdf>
144. Understanding High and Low Context Cultures: A Dive into ... <https://languagementors.org/blog/understanding-high-and-low-context-cultures-a-dive-into-communication-styles>
145. Southern Asia Results <https://globeproject.com/results/clusters/southern-asia%3Fmenu>
146. The Art of Giving Feedback Across Cultures - Ramona Mills <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/cultural-differences-giving-receiving-feedback-ramona-mills>
147. How to communicate feedback in different cultures <https://www.secretview.io/en/articles/how-to-communicate-feedback-in-different-cultures-6-important-tips>
148. Cross-Cultural Feedback Mastery: Shyft's Global ... <https://www.myshyft.com/blog/cross-cultural-feedback-approaches/>
149. Max weber” explained the three ideal types of authority, he ... <https://www.facebook.com/groups/qayyum110/posts/2687703294843740/>
150. How Americans act: Social norms for US newcomers <https://usvisagroup.com/social-norms-for-us-newcomers/>
151. (PDF) Communication in the Real World: An Introduction to ... https://www.academia.edu/42639710/Communication_in_the_Real_World_An_Introduction_to_Communication_Studies
152. What assumptions underpin the Western Psychology? <https://www.visionpsychology.com/what-assumptions-underpin-the-western-psychology/>
153. Having good manners in the USA <https://usahello.org/life-in-usa/culture/be-polite/>